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Abstract
In this paper, a fractional-order Hastings-Powell food chain model is discussed. It is assumed that the top-predator population is supported by alternative food. Existence and local stability of equilibrium points of fractional-order system are investigated. Numerical simulations are conducted to illustrate analysis results. The analysis results show that alternative food can give a positive impact for top-predator population.
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INTRODUCTION
Nowadays, fractional calculus becomes the main focus for the researchers. Many problems of science and engineering can be modeled by using fractional derivatives. The process of developing a differential system of integer order into fractional-order becomes popular in dynamic systems [1]. Basically, a biological mathematical model in predicting the future, not only depends on the current but also the memory or the previous condition. In fractional derivatives, at some certain conditions contain information of previous condition, therefore fractional derivatives can be used to explain more realistic natural phenomena.

Interactions between populations can be described in a food chain model. One of the interactions in the food chain is predation process. Mathematical model used to describe interactions between predator and prey is called the predator-prey model. Furthermore, many interesting phenomena in ecology can be described by mathematical model through predator-prey models such as harvesting in predator population [1], supplying alternative food in a predator population [2], refuging prey population [3], spreading disease in ecosystem [4], and the effect of the present an omnivore [5]. In predator prey model [2], it is assumed that prey populations do not always exist, they also experience migration to find new habitats due to climate change factors and low food reserves.

Therefore, predators need additional food or alternative prey to survive.

In this paper, a food chain model of three-species fractional-order with alternative food is introduced. Examples of three-species ecosystems in this model: vegetation-hare-lynx, mouse-snake-owl and worm-robin-falcon. Moreover, predator-prey food chains have been studied in structured populations in [5,6]. In this paper, Model is a modification of model [7]. Then the conditions of existence and stability of the equilibrium points of the fractional-order are examined in the result and discussion. Numerical simulations are illustrated by the Grunwald-Letnikov approximation [8].

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Model Formulation
In this research, the predatory-prey model [7] is the main object of the study. The model construction is done by modifying the model of Sahoo and Poria [2] by changing the integer order into the fractional-order.

Determination of the Equilibrium Point
In dynamical analysis, the first step is to determine the equilibrium points. The equilibrium point is obtained when the population rate of the system is unchanged or zero. From this condition, the existence properties of each equilibrium points is also obtained.

Stability of the Equilibrium Point
In this paper, the local stability of equilibrium points is analyzed. The discussion of local stability is begun by linearizing the model by using Taylor series. The linearization around its equilibrium
point is done to change the nonlinear model into linear form. Approximation of linear system using Taylors series will be in the form of Jacobian matrix. From the Jacobian matrix, it is determined the roots of the characteristic equation or eigenvalue. The determination of local stability can be obtained from the absolute of its eigen value argument.

Numerical Simulation
The behavior of the system [3] is described by numerical simulation. The numerical simulation approach uses the Grunwald-Letnikov scheme. The numerical simulations are conducted by using MATLAB software. An important step in this stage is to determine parameters that match the condition of existence and the stability of the equilibrium points. The behavior of local stability is visualized by graphic based on kinds of parameter values pointed. The last step at this stage is to do the interpretation results of numerical simulation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Model Formulation
In this model, definition of Caputo fractional derivative is used. In [9] Caputo’s definition of fractional derivatives can be written as follows

\[ D_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_t^T (t-s)^{n-\alpha} f^{(n)}(s) ds, \]

with \( n - 1 < \alpha < n \) and \( \Gamma \) is a Gamma function and \( D_t = \frac{d}{dt} \).

Hastings and Powell [10] has discussed the food chain model of three species. The three species are prey population (X), intermediate-predator (Y) and top-predator (Z). The prey population is hunted by the intermediate-predator population and the top-predator hunts intermediate-predators. Both predation processes use the Holling Type II response function. \( R_0 \) and \( K_0 \) express the rate of growth and carrying capacity of the prey population. \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are the interaction rates between prey populations, intermediate-predators with predators, top-predators. \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are the rate of environmental protection to the prey and the intermediate-predator populations. \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are the maximal predation rate of intermediate-predator and top-predator populations. \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are the natural death rates of intermediate-predator and top-predator populations respectively. Mataouk et al. [10] modified the Hastings-Powell model [8] by changing the integer order into the fractional-order as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_t^\alpha X &= R_0 X \left(1 - \frac{X}{K_0}\right) - \frac{C_1 A_1 X Y}{B_1 + X}, \\
D_t^\alpha Y &= A_1 X Y - \frac{A_2 Y Z}{B_1 + X} - \frac{A_1 X Y}{B_1 + X} - D_1 Y, \\
D_t^\alpha Z &= \frac{C_2 A_1 Y Z}{B_2 + Y} - D_2 Z,
\end{align*}
\]

with \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \).

Model (1) explains that top-predator food sources only depend on intermediate-predators. However, alternative prey (supplementary feeding) for top-predators can reduce predation rates in intermediate-predators [2], then to give this effect, model (1) can be modified to

\[
\begin{align*}
D_t^\alpha X &= R_0 X \left(1 - \frac{X}{K_0}\right) - \frac{C_1 A_1 X Y}{B_1 + X}, \\
D_t^\alpha Y &= A_1 X Y - \frac{A_2 Y Z}{B_1 + X} - \frac{A_1 X Y}{B_1 + X} - D_1 Y, \\
D_t^\alpha Z &= C_2 A_1 Y Z \left(\frac{A Y}{B_2 + Y} + (1 - A)\right) - D_2 Z
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A \) is a time independent constant to get the alternative resource \( 0 < A < 1 \). To make easier the model analysis, variables and some parameter are selected to be

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \frac{X}{K_0}, \quad y = \frac{Y}{K_0}, \quad z = \frac{Z}{C_2 K_0}, \quad t = \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad a_1 = \frac{A_1 K_0}{R_0 K_0}, \quad a_2 = \frac{A_2 K_0}{C_2 K_0}, \quad b_1 = \frac{B_1 K_0}{K_0}, \quad b_2 = \frac{B_2 K_0}{K_0}, \quad c = \frac{C_1 B_1}{K_0} R_0, \\
d_1 &= \frac{D_1 K_0}{R_0}, \quad d_2 = \frac{D_2 K_0}{R_0}, \quad \text{where} \quad a_1 = \frac{A_1 K_0}{R_0 B_1}, \quad b_1 = \frac{B_1 K_0}{R_0}, \quad \text{or} \quad A_1 > D_1, \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = \frac{A_2 K_0}{R_0 B_2 C_1}, \quad b_2 = \frac{B_2 K_0}{C_1}, \quad \text{or} \quad A_2 C_2 > d_2,
\end{align*}
\]

and the non-dimensional version of model (2) is

\[
\begin{align*}
D_t^\alpha x &= x(1-x) - \frac{a_1 xy}{1 + b_1 x}, \\
D_t^\alpha y &= \frac{a_1 xy}{1 + b_1 x} - \frac{a_2 A_2 y z}{1 + b_2 y} - d_1 y, \\
D_t^\alpha z &= \frac{a_2 A_2 y z}{1 + b_2 y} + (1 - A) a_2 c_2 x - d_2 z
\end{align*}
\]

and initial condition is \( x(0) = x_0, y(0) = y_0, z(0) = z_0 \).

Stability of Equilibrium Points
To determine the equilibrium points of differential equation (3), let
\[ D^q_a x = D^q_a y = D^q_a z = 0, \]

then the equilibrium points are

\[ E_1 = (0,0,0), \ E_2 = (1,0,0), \ E_3 = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0), \ \text{and} \ E_4 = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 2) \]

where

\[ \bar{y} = \frac{a_1 - (b_1 + 1)d_1}{(a_1 - b_1 d_1)^2}, \quad \bar{x} = \frac{d_1}{a_1 - b_1 d_1}, \]

\[ \bar{z} = \frac{-a_2 (1 - \lambda) + d_2}{a_2 (1 - a_2 (1 - A) + d_2)}, \]

\[ \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{1 + b_1 x}, \]

The Jacobian matrix of system (3) at the equilibrium point \((x^*, y^*, z^*)\) is given by

\[ f(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \]

where

\[ a_{11} = 1 - 2x^* - \frac{a_2 y^*}{1 + b_1 x^*}, \quad a_{12} = -\frac{a_2 z^*}{1 + b_1 x^*}, \]

\[ a_{21} = a_1 y^* + \frac{a_2 y^*}{1 + b_1 x^*}, \quad a_{22} = a_1 x^* + \frac{a_2 z^*}{1 + b_1 x^*}, \]

\[ a_{23} = \frac{a_2 z^*}{1 + b_2 y^*} + c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2, \]

\[ a_{33} = \frac{a_2 z^*}{1 + b_2 y^*} + c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2. \]

**Theorem 1.** The equilibrium \(E_1\) of system (3) is always a saddle point.

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix at \(E_1\) is given by

\[ f(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Eigenvalues of matrix \(f(E_1)\) are obtained by solving the characteristic equation

\[ P(\lambda) = \det(f(E_1) - \lambda I) = (1 - \lambda)(d_1 - \lambda)(c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2 - \lambda) = 0. \]

The eigenvalues corresponding to the equilibrium \(E_1\) are \(\lambda_1 = 1 > 0, \lambda_2 = -d_1\), and \(\lambda_3 = c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2\). Thus \(|\arg(\lambda_1)| = 0 < \frac{\pi}{2}\), \(|\arg(\lambda_2)| = \pi \frac{\alpha x}{\lambda} > \frac{\pi}{2}\), and \(|\arg(\lambda_3)| = \pi \frac{\alpha y}{\lambda} > \frac{\pi}{2}\) since \(|\arg(\lambda_3)| = 0 < \frac{\pi}{2}\),

it follows from convergence of Mittag-Leffler function [9] that the equilibrium \(E_1\) is always a saddle point.

**Theorem 2.** The equilibrium \(E_2\) of system (3) is locally asymptotically stable if \(\frac{a_1}{1 + b_1} < d_1\) and \(c_{a_2} (1 - A) < d_2\).

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix of \(E_2\) is given by

\[ f(1,0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -a_1 & 0 \\ \frac{a_1}{1 + b_1} & d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Eigenvalues of matrix \(f(E_2)\) are obtained by solving the characteristic equation

\[ P(\lambda) = \det(f(E_2) - \lambda I) = (1 - \lambda)(\frac{a_1}{1 + b_1} - d_1 - \lambda) (c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2 - \lambda) = 0. \]

The eigenvalues corresponding to the equilibrium \(E_2\) are \(\lambda_1 = -1 < 0, \lambda_2 = \frac{a_1}{1 + b_1} - d_1\), and \(\lambda_3 = c_{a_2} (1 - A) - d_2\). Thus \(|\arg(\lambda_1)| = \pi \frac{\alpha x}{\lambda} > \frac{\pi}{2}\), if \(\frac{a_1}{1 + b_1} < d_1\) then \(|\arg(\lambda_2)| = \pi \frac{\alpha y}{\lambda} > \frac{\pi}{2}\), if \(d_2 > c_{a_2} (1 - A)\) then \(|\arg(\lambda_3)| = \pi \frac{\alpha y}{\lambda} > \frac{\pi}{2}\).

It follows from convergence of Mittag-Leffler function [9] that the equilibrium \(E_2\) of system (3) is locally asymptotically stable.
The eigen values corresponding to the equation
\[ P(\lambda) \] are
\[ \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \sqrt{\omega_1^2 - 4\omega_2}), \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 - \sqrt{\omega_1^2 - 4\omega_2}), \]
where \( \psi = (-\omega_1)^2 - 4\omega_2 \). Thus, \( E_3 \) is locally asymptotically stable if it satisfies \( |\arg(\lambda_2)| = \pi > \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \) by \( \frac{a_2d_1y}{1+b_2y} + ca_2(1-A) < d_2 \), and \( \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \) are following one of the conditions
1. if \( \psi = 0 \) and \( \omega_1 < 0 \) then \( \lambda_2, \lambda_3 < 0 \) such that \( |\arg(\lambda_2,\lambda_3)| = \pi > \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \)
2. if \( \psi > 0, \omega_1 < 0, \omega_2 > 0 \) and \( \sqrt{\omega_1} < |\omega_1| \) then \( \lambda_2, \lambda_3 < 0 \) such that \( |\arg(\lambda_2,\lambda_3)| = \pi > \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \)
3. if \( \psi < 0 \) then \( |\arg(\lambda_2,\lambda_3)| > \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \).

To analyze the stability of equilibrium point \( E_4 \), first the Jacobian matrix at \( E_4 \) is evaluated by
\[ J(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
a_{21} &= a_1y(1+b_1\hat{x}) - a_2x_1, \\
a_{22} &= a_1(1+b_1\hat{x}) - a_2, \\
a_{23} &= -\frac{a_2d_1y}{1+b_2y} + ca_2(1-A) - d_2, \\
a_{32} &= \frac{a_2d_1y}{(1+b_2y)^2} - d_1, \\
a_{33} &= \frac{a_2d_1y}{(1+b_2y)^2} + d_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Eigenvalues of matrix \( J(E_4) \) are got by solving the characteristic equation
\[ P(\lambda) = \det(J(E_4) - \lambda I) = \lambda^3 + K_1\lambda^2 + K_2\lambda + K_3 = 0 \]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
K_1 &= -(a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33}), \\
K_2 &= a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{22} - a_{32}a_{23}, \\
K_3 &= a_{32}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{23} + a_{11}a_{22}a_{33}.
\end{align*}
\]
Let \( D(P) \) is the discriminant of a polynomial \( P(\lambda) \), it can be written
\[
D(P) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & K_1 & K_2 & K_3 \\ 0 & 1 & K_1 & K_2 \\ 3 & 2K_1 & K_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2K_1 & K_2 \end{vmatrix} = 18K_1K_2K_3 + (K_1K_2)^2 - 4K_3K_1^2 - 4K_2^3 - 27K_3^2.
\]

**Proposition**

Let the equilibrium \( E_4 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Then the equilibrium \( E_4 \) of system (3) is asymptotically stable if one of the following conditions [11] are satisfied
1. \( D(P) > 0, K_1 > 0, K_3 > 0, \text{and} K_1K_2 > K_3 \)
2. \( D(P) < 0, K_1 \geq 0, K_2 \geq 0, K_3 > 0, \text{and} \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \)
3. \( D(P) < 0, K_1 > 0, K_2 > 0, K_1K_2 = K_3 \), and for all \( \alpha \in (0,1) \).

**Numerical Method and Simulations**

Numerical method which is introduced by Grunwald and Letnikov [8] is used to solve nonlinear fractional differential equation [3]. As described in [8,12], by using the Grunwald-Letnikov approximation method, it is obtained the following nonstandard explicit scheme for system [3].

The parameters chosen in the first
\[
x_{n+1} = h^a f(x_n,y_n,z_n) = - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)j\binom{a}{j}x_{m+1-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)j\binom{a}{j}x_{m+1-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)j\binom{a}{j}y_{m+1-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)j\binom{a}{j}z_{m+1-j} + \frac{h^a}{\Gamma(1-a)}(x_{n+1} - x_n) + \frac{h^a}{\Gamma(1-a)}(y_{n+1} - y_n) + \frac{h^a}{\Gamma(1-a)}(z_{n+1} - z_n)
\]

simulation are \( a_4 = 2, a_5 = 0.1, b_1 = 2.5, b_2 = 2, d_1 = 0.6, d_2 = 0.02, c = 0.45, \lambda = 0.8, \text{and} \ h = 0.1 \). The aim of this simulation is to show that \( E_2 \) is stable. Figure 1 indicates the different values of \( \alpha \) can decide the speed of convergence of solutions. The graph moves from the initial
condition \((0.5, 1.0, 0.75)\), then the solution convergent to equilibrium point \(E_2(1,0,0)\). If the value of \(\alpha\) is approaching to one then the convergence of the rate of change of the three populations is faster and vice versa.
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**Figure 1.** Stability of the equilibrium \(E_1\) for \(\alpha = 0.6, \alpha = 0.7, \alpha = 0.8\) and \(\alpha = 0.9\)

Parameters used in Figure 2 are \(a_1 = 5, a_2 = 0.9, b_1 = 3, b_2 = 2.5, d_1 = 0.6, d_2 = 0.3, c = 0.45, A = 0.6\) and \(h = 0.1\). According Matignon’s condition \([13]\), stability of the equilibrium \(E_2\) is stabilized by \(\alpha^* = 0.94\). The initial condition of Figure 2 is \((1.0, 0.5)\) and the solution is stable at point \((0.461, 0.414, 0)\) for \(\alpha = 0.9\), and it is unstable for \(\alpha = 0.95\). From this simulation, it can be concluded that the stability of the equilibrium point of the fractional-order model depends on the parameter of \(\alpha\) if \(\alpha^* > \alpha\) then the equilibrium \(E_2\) is stable. Conversely if \(\alpha^* < \alpha\) then the equilibrium \(E_3\) is unstable.
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**Figure 2.** Stability of the equilibrium \(E_3\) for \(\alpha = 0.9\) and \(\alpha = 0.95\)

In Figure 3, some parameters are set as \(a_1 = 3, a_2 = 0.1, b_1 = 2.5, b_2 = 2, d_1 = 0.6, d_2 = 0.02, c = 0.45,\) and \(h = 0.2\). With initial conditions \((1, 0.5, 1.5)\) and different value of \(A = 1, A = 0.9,\) and \(A = 0.8\), then the solution convergent to \((0.6, 0.333, 2), (0.71, 0.26, 2.89)\) and \((0.812, 0.189, 3.518)\) respectively. These simulations explain that, if there is no alternative food \((A = 1)\), the number of top-predator population decreases compared with the presence of alternative food \((0 < A < 1)\). When the value of \(A = 1\) indicates that top-predator population doesn’t perform activities to search for additional food and the food source of the top-predator population depends only on the intermediate-predator population. However, in this case the three populations still survive in a ecosystem. On the other hand, if top-predator eat only alternative food \((A = 0)\) then the top-
The predator can grow without bound (simulation not shown in this paper).

Figure 3. Stability of the equilibrium $E_4$ for $\alpha = 0.65$ and $A = 0.8, A = 0.9, A = 1$

CONCLUSION

In this work, the Hastings–Powell food chain model with alternative foods has been modified into a system of fractional-order. The local stability of all the equilibrium points of the fractional-order system is investigated. Numerical simulation results agree with the analytical result. It is also found that the fractional parameter $\alpha$ has effects on the stability of solution behavior. Furthermore, our analysis predicts that providing a suitable amount of alternative food has a positive impact for top-predator population.
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